IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 11966 of 2004

Between:

1 Paruru Nagi Reddy S/o P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
2 Peruru Kondappa Reddy S/o P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
3 Peruru Lakshma Reddy S/o P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
4 Peruru Venkata reddy S/o P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
5 Smt. K.Munemma W/o K.Bathi Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
6 Paruru Krishna Reddy S/o P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
7 Smt. P.Lakshmamma W/o Late China Nagi Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
8 Padi Muni Reddy S/o Late P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
9 Padi Anaki Reddy S/o Late P.Muni Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
10 Smt. Peruru Venkatakha W/o Late P.Gopalareddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal chittoor District
11 Smt. Peruru Subbamma w/o Late P.Venkata Reddy
residents of Koramanigunta (V) Hamlet of Akkarampalle,

Tirupati Urban Mandal, Chittoor District

... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Sub-Registrar of Assurances and Registration, Tirupati, Chittoor District
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer Tirupati Urban Mandal , Chittoor Distirct

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to to issue a writ, order or Direction, more particularly a writ in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent ie., the Sub-Registrar of Assurancas and Registration, Tirupati in not registering the Sale-deeds presented by the petitioners herein relating to the schedule mentioned lands covered by Survey Nos. 135/7 -0-08 Cts., 135/2-0.09 Cts., 139/7-0.15 Cts., 114/10-0.10 cts., 145/5-0.07cts 55/3- 0.33 cts., 55/4 -0.13cts., 55/5- 0.17 cts., 55/6-0.06 cts., 55/7-0.06 cts., 55/8-0.02 cts., 55/9- 0-0.5 cts., 55/16- 0.21 cts., 55/19- 0.18 cts., 143/9 -0.19 cts., 143/10- 0.04cts., 150/1-0.12 cts., 150/2- 0.10 cts., 150/5- 0-22 cts., 150/8 -0.07 cts., 150/20 -0.11 cts., 150/3 -0.09 cts., 150/7-0.09 cts.,150/18 -0.07cts., 150/4 -0.13 cts., 150/19- 0.10cts., 150/21 -0.08cts., 150/9 -0.42 cts., 150/10 -0.24cts., 150/23-0.11 cts., 150/11-0.21cts., 150/12-0.47cts., 150/24-0.13 cts., 150/13-0.87 cts, 150/25-0.28 cts., 150/22-0.09 cts., 135/3-0.13cts., 135/5-0.17cts., 144/3-0.13cts., 144/7-0.08cts., 145/9-0.11cts., situated at Koramanigunta village, Hamlet of Akkararpalle, Tirupati Urban Mandal, chittoor District , as bad, illegal, arbitrary and without jurisdication

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondents .: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

1. When W.P.M.P.15206 of 2004 has come up for hearing, both parties consented for disposal of main writ petition itself and accordingly the writ petition is taken up for hearing.

2. The petitioners who are 11 in number filed the writ petition questioning the inaction of the 1st respondent in not registering the sale deeds submitted by them.

3 . The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the absolute owners of the lands in various survey numbers respectively, admeasuring Ac. 4-47 cents and therefore, the 1st respondent has no justifiable reason in refusing to register the documents presented by the petitioners.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the 1st respondent submits that the petitioners have not submitted any document and therefore, the question of rejection does not arise.

5. Keeping in view the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Government Pleader, I deem it appropriate to direct the petitioners to present the documents for registration and thereupon the 1st respondent shall pass appropriate orders within two weeks from the date of production of the documents.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

B.Seshasayana Reddy, J.

22nd June, 2005

KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Sub-Registrar of Assurances and Registration, Tirupati, Chittoor District
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer Tirupati Urban Mandal , Chittoor Distirct

3. 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

4. 2 CD copies

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 11966 of 2004

22nd June, 2005